IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE SIDE CRIMINAL JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.907 OF 2006
IN
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.4586 OF 2006

The State of Maharashtra. ..Applicant.
Vs.
Mohammed Hussain Sayyed and ors. ..Respondents.

Mrs. S.D.Shinde, A.P.P. for the Applicant.

CORAM: B.H.MARLAPALLE &
R.S. MOHITE, JJ.
DATED :20TH FEBRUARY, 2007

P.C.

1. Heard the learned A.P.P.

2. The State has filed Criminal Application No.4586 of 2006 seeking leave to appeal under Section 378 of (3) of the Code of Criminal Procedure against the order of acquittal passed by the learned Sessions Judge at Sindhudurga for the offences punishable under Sections-147,148,435,436,427,444 and 380 read with 149 of the Indian Penal Code but the application is beyond time by 32 days and hence, this application for condonation of delay.

3. We are satisfied that the delay caused deserves to be condoned. Hence, this application is allowed in terms of prayer clause (b)

(R.S. MOHITE, J.) **(B.H. MARLAPALLE, J.)**

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE SIDE CRIMINAL JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.907 OF 2006
IN
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.4586 OF 2006

The State of Maharashtra. ..Applicant.
Vs.
Mohammed Hussain Sayyed and ors. ..Respondents.

Mrs. S.D.Shinde, A.P.P. for the Applicant.

CORAM: B.H.MARLAPALLE &
R.S. MOHITE, JJ.
DATED :20TH FEBRUARY, 2007

P.C.

1. Heard the learned A.P.P.

2. The State has filed Criminal Application No.4586 of 2006 seeking leave to appeal under Section 378 of (3) of the Code of Criminal Procedure against the order of acquittal passed by the learned Sessions Judge at Sindhudurga for the offences punishable under Sections-147,148,435,436,427,444 and 380 read with 149 of the Indian Penal Code but the application is beyond time by 32 days and hence, this application for condonation of delay.

3. We are satisfied that the delay caused deserves to be condoned. Hence, this application is allowed in terms of prayer clause (b)

(R.S. MOHITE, J.) **(B.H. MARLAPALLE, J.)**